

# Shonto Preparatory Technology High School

Tom Horne, Superintendent of Public Instruction

# ARIZONA SCHOOL REPORT CARD ACADEMIC YEAR 2006-07

Rt. 160/98, Shonto, AZ 86054

Shonto Governing Board of Education, Inc.

Arizona's report cards have been revised to include requirements in the federal No Child Left Behind Act, such as Adequate Yearly Progress and the disaggregation of student level data into required subgroups.

#### AZ LEARNS<sup>1</sup>

# High School Achievement Profile (a)

2005-06 Performing Plus

2004-05 Underperforming

2003-04 Underperforming

(a) For additional information, please refer to Achievement Profiles Page near end of document.

#### **School Overview**

Principal/Administrator: Dr. Richard McClements Schedule: 08:00 AM to 05:00 PM

Grades: 9-12

Web Address: www.shonto.bia.edu
Phone Number: (928) 672-2682
Fax Number: (928) 672-2688

E-mail: rmcclements@mail.shonto.bia.edu

#### Mission

Mission

Our unified goal is to empower all students to be self-sufficient and productive, to attain their maximum potential and to successfully interact in a globally diverse society.

Vision Statement

Shonto Preparatory Technology High School in partnership with the community will nurture personal, cultural and academic growth of our students for lifelong learning.

#### No Child Left Behind

# Adequate Yearly Progress (b)

2005-06 Not Met

2004-05 Not Met

2003-04 Not Met

# School Improvement Status (b)

2005-06 Corrective Action

2004-05 SI Year 2

2003-04 Year 1

(b) For additional information, please refer to the AYP page in this report card.

#### School / Academic Goals

- Ü Of the 10th, 11th and 12th grade students who either Falls Far Below and Approaches, 61% will pass the AIMS reading and mathematics test (Meets/Exceeds.
- **ü** All students will decrease risk factors by working on positive strategies to overcome absenteeism, truancy, violence, and idleness.
- Ü Students will see themselves as curious, healthy, and productive in meeting daily challenges.
- Ü Of the 10th, 11th and 12 grade students who either Fall Far Below and Approaches, 30% will pass the AIMS writing test (Meets/Exceeds).
  All students will attend school on a regular basis by 2013-14.

#### Enrollment

October 1, 2005 School Year Student Enrollment: 120

Accepting New Students in 2005-06 Under Open Enrollment Law : Yes Number of Students Attending Under Open Enrollment in 2005-06 : 142

## Shonto Preparatory Technology High School

# Instructional Programs Ü Reponsible learning, behavior Ü Technology literacy Ü Safe School Climate Ü Mathematics mastery at all levels Ü Literacy across the curriculum Ü Problem,project-based math and science Ü Service learning Ü Navajo literacy Calendar Information

Number of Instruction Days: 180

Average Daily Instruction Time: 6 hours 15 minutes

First Day of School: 8/10/2005 Last Day of School: 5/24/2006

#### **Shared Responsibilities**

#### School

Our school is committed to having high academic standards in a safe educational environment for all students and parents. The school will provide progress reports on the 5th week, 15th week, 25th week 35th week of student attendance and academic growth. We are committed to communicating with parents about highly qualified teachers (HQ), academic expectations and school services on an annual basis.

#### **Parents**

In collaboaration with parents, we will share the responsibilities of teaching and learning where parents feel adequate about their roles as parents and educators as follows:

- \* positive role models
- \* cultural teachers
- \* tutors at school and at home, and
- \* safe, supervised and wholesome home environment.

#### Transportation Policy

The Transportation Department has the responsibility to provide transportation to and from school daily, 180 school days, with eight bus routes. All of the professional drivers are certified (CDL) in accordance with federal, tribal and state laws.

School Honors	
Awards or Special Recognition Received By the School, Sta	aff or Students
Award/Honor	Year
Ü North Central Assn Accreditation Sch. Improvement Plan	2006
	2003

# Arizona's Instrument to Measure Standards (AIMS) Results 2005-06 3

# 10th Grade

Mathematics	#	# Teste	ed	%	Teste	ed		MSS		%	6 FFB			% A		%	6 Met		% Ex	ceed	led
man omatio	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ
All Students	28	28	71130	93	93	95	667	667	701	46	46	23	25	25	13	29	29	51	NA	NA	14
All Students (Prior Year)																					
Female	16	16	35465	84	84	96	672	672	702	38	38	21	19	19	13	44	44	53	ÑΑ	NA	13
Male	12	12	35648	100	100	94	660	660	701	58	58	24	33	33	12	8	8	50	ÑΑ	NA	14
African American			3868			95			686			33			17			45			6
Hispanic			25103			95			685			34			16			45			5
Asian/Pacific Islander			1805			98			731			9			7			50			34
American Indian/Alaskan Native	28	28	4241	93	93	90	667	667	679	46	46	39	25	25	19	29	29	39	ÑΑ	NA	3
White			36075			95			715			12			9			58			21
Students with Disabilities	NC	NC	5862	NC	NC	71	NC	NC	658	NC	NC	63	NC	NC	15	NC	NC	20	NC	NC	2
Students without Disabilities	24	24	65268	96	96	98	669	669	705	42	42	19	29	29	12	29	29	54	ÑΑ	NA	15
Limited English Proficient Students			4859			93			662			64			15			20			1
Migrant Students			786			95			681			38			18			41			4
Economically Disadvantaged			22957			93			685			34			17			44			5
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	28	28	48173	93	93	96	667	667	709	46	46	17	25	25	11	29	29	55	ΝĀ	NA	18

Reading	#	Teste	ed	%	Teste	ed		MSS		%	6 FFE	}		% A		%	6 Met		% E	xcee	ded
g	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	AZ
All Students	26	26	73018	87	87	97	658	658	703	8	8	6	65	65	23	27	27	64	NA	NA	8
All Students (Prior Year)																					
Female	16	16	36181	84	84	97	664	664	708	6	6	4	56	56	21	38	38	65	NA	NA	9
Male	10	10	36816	91	91	96	ÑĀ	NA	699	NA	ÑĀ	7	ΝĀ	NA	24	NA	ΝĀ	62	NA	NA	7
African American			3976			96			689			8			29			59			3
Hispanic			25801			96			683			10			34			53			3
Asian/Pacific Islander			1812			98			722			3			15			66			16
American Indian/Alaskan Native	26	26	4389	87	87	93	658	658	675	8	8	9	65	65	42	27	27	47	ΝĀ	NA	1
White			37024			97			721			2			12			73			13
Students with Disabilities	NC	NC	7170	NC	NC	85	NC	NC	654	NC	NC	23	NC	NC	47	NC	NC	29	NC	NC	1
Students without Disabilities	22	22	65848	88	88	98	660	660	708	9	9	4	68	68	20	23	23	67	ΝĀ	NA	9
Limited English Proficient Students			5099			95			641			29			59			12			Ō
Migrant Students			817			96			667			15			44			39			1
Economically Disadvantaged			23912			94			681			10			36			52			2
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	26	26	49106	87	87	98	658	658	714	8	8	4	65	65	16	27	27	69	NA	NA	11

Writing	7	# Teste	ed	%	Teste	ed		MSS		9	% FFE	3		% A		9	% Me	t	% E:	xcee	ded
Witting	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ
All Students	26	26	72810	87	87	96	662	662	685	19	19	6	31	31	30	46	46	58	4	4	6
All Students (Prior Year)																					
Female	17	17	36111	89	89	97	687	687	695	6	6	4	24	24	23	65	65	65	6	6	8
Male	NC	NC	36678	NC	NC	95	NC	NC	674	NC	NC	9	NC	NC	36	NC	NC	52	NC	NC	3
African American			3962			96			675			8			33			55			3
Hispanic			25735			96			669			10			41			48			2
Asian/Pacific Islander			1809			97			704			4			19			65			13
American Indian/Alaskan Native	26	26	4370	87	87	92	662	662	670	19	19	9	31	31	39	46	46	50	4	4	2
White			36915			97			697			3			21			67			8
Students with Disabilities	NC	NC	7071	NC	NC	84	NC	NC	634	NC	NC	24	NC	NC	53	NC	NC	21	NC	NC	1
Students without Disabilities	22	22	65739	88	88	98	667	667	689	14	14	4	32	32	27	50	50	62	5	5	6
Limited English Proficient Students			5046			94			621			31			56			12			0
Migrant Students			812			96			654			15			51			34			0
<b>Economically Disadvantaged</b>			23814			94			667			10			41			47			2
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	26	26	48996	87	87	97	662	662	693	19	19	4	31	31	24	46	46	64	4	4	7

NC = Not Calculated (less than 10 students) Dashes (--) = No Data Available NA = Not Applicable S = School D = District

# Recent Trends in Student Proficiency on the State Standards (AIMS Test)

# 10th Grade Proficiency



The graph includes the percentage of students that met or exceeded the standards. NC = Not Calculated. Under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA), no individually identifiable references to students may be made. Therefore, items of data containing information about fewer than ten (10) students have been replaced with NC.

#### ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2005-06

The table below shows the schools that met or did not meet based on federal AYP requirements. To meet AYP for the year, all applicable goals must be met.

	Met Percent Tested?	N
	Met Test Objectives?	N
AYP Determination	Met Attendance Rate?	NA
	Met Graduation Rate?	N
	Made AYP?	No

#### Glossary:

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress**

A measure of school performance as mandated by the federal government in the No Child Left Behind. AYP holds schools accountable for the performance of subgroups, as well as all students. AYP measures school toward the goal of having 100 percent of all students proficient in state standards for reading and math by 2013-14.

#### Met Percent Tested:

The school or district tested at least ninety-five percent (95%) of its students enrolled at the time of the test administration. Schools and districts must test at least 95 percent of their student in order to make AYP.

#### Met Test Objectives:

The percentage of students in the school or district that demonstrate proficiency in state standards by passing the AIMS test meets or exceeds the goal for that year. No Child Left Behind requires that Arizona set goals, called Annual Measurable Objectives, for the percentage of students passing AIMS for all public schools and districts in the state. The goals increase over time toward 100 percent passing by 2014. To meet the test objectives, every subgroup in the school must meet the Annual Measurable Objective.

#### Met Attendance Rate:

The school or district had an attendance rate of at least 90 percent over the first 100 days of the academic year. Schools and districts not serving high school students must have an attendance rate of 90 percent, or show a one-percentage-point improvement over the previous year, in order to make AYP.

#### Met Graduation Rate:

The school or district had a four-year graduation rate of 71 percent or greater. School or districts granting a high school diploma must have a graduation rate of 71 percent, or show a one-percentage-point improvement over the previous year, in order to make AYP.

For More Information on AYP, visit our website at www.ade.az.gov/azlearns and go to NCLB link

#### **Achievement Test Results**

#### Stanford 9 and TerraNova/AIMS DPA

		2003-2004 (SAT9)				20	04-2005	(TerraN	ova)	2005-2006 (TerraNova)				
Grade	Content Area	%	Score	D	AZ	%	Score	D	AZ	%	Score	D	AZ	
	Reading	35	15	NA	42				51	88	20	20	52	
9	Language	45	14	14	42				50	88	21	21	50	
	Mathematics	60	24	24	63				50	88	20	20	50	

Starting in Spring 2004-05, students were tested using AIMS DPA and TerraNova. AIMS DPA is a statewide assessment that is both standards-based, measuring student's knowledge against the Arizona Academic Standards, and norm-referenced, comparing student knowledge against students nationwide. TerraNova was administered to all students in grades 2 and 9. It is important to note the TerraNova/AIMS DPA and Stanford 9 test results are not comparable. For more information on the TerraNova and AIMS DPA, see our website at www.ade.az.gov/standards/

The Stanford 9 is a standardized, norm-referenced test. Since 1999, all students in grades 2 through 9 have been tested in Reading, Mathematics and Language. The percentage (%) of eligible students tested and the school's percentile ranks are listed above. State average percentile ranks for Arizona and District are provided for comparison. Note that the average percentile ranks for the nation are 50 for all content areas in all grades. Under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA), no individually identifiable references to students may be made. Therefore, items of data containing information about fewer than ten students have been replaced with NC (not calculated) to protect student privacy. Some columns contain dashes (- -) to indicate "no data available."

	School	Site Council		
Council Composition			Council D	uties
1 School Administrator(s)		ü Sc	hool Safety Issues	
0 Non-certified Employee(s)		ü Pr	evention/Intervention	Programs
1 Teacher(s)		Ü Cı	Iltural Materials and R	esources
3 Parent(s)		Ü Pa	rent/Educator Policie	S
3 Community Member(s)			tracurricular Activitie	
1 Student(s)		Ü Ne	ew high school athletic	cfacilties
	ing Information			
Position	Number	Pos	sition	Number
Administrator	1.00		acher	17.00
Other Professional Staff	2.00		acher Aide	2.00
Experience	Bachelor's	ence for Scho Master's	Doctorate	Other
3 or fewer years	4	3	3	0
4 to 6 years	3	1	0	0
7 to 9 years	0	1	0	0
-	1	1	0	0
re academic classes taught by Highly Quali	ly Qualified (NC	LB) School Ye	ear 2004-05 48	
High ore academic classes taught by Highly Quali- eachers with Emergency Certification. ercent of teachers in the school with Emerge	Ily Qualified (NC fied (NCLB) teache ency/Provisional Co	LB) School Ye	48 1 5%	
High ore academic classes taught by Highly Quali- eachers with Emergency Certification. ercent of teachers in the school with Emerge	Ily Qualified (NC fied (NCLB) teache ency/Provisional Co Qualified Teachers	LB) School Ye	48 1 5% 4%	
High ore academic classes taught by Highly Quali- eachers with Emergency Certification. ercent of teachers in the school with Emerge	Ily Qualified (NC fied (NCLB) teache ency/Provisional Co Qualified Teachers Resources Ava	LB) School Yers. ertification	48 1 5% 4%	
High ore academic classes taught by Highly Qualiceachers with Emergency Certification. ercent of teachers in the school with Emergercent of core classes not taught by Highly Cercent of core classes not classes not classes not classes not classes	Ily Qualified (NC fied (NCLB) teache ency/Provisional Co Qualified Teachers Resources Ava	LB) School Ye	48 1 5% 4% pol Site	
High  ore academic classes taught by Highly Qualitation.  Arcent of teachers in the school with Emergance arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core Classes are taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core arcent of core arce	Ily Qualified (NC fied (NCLB) teache ency/Provisional Co Qualified Teachers Resources Ava	LB) School Years. ertification ilable at School Facilities	48 1 5% 4% pool Site	
High  are academic classes taught by Highly Quality  achers with Emergency Certification.  arcent of teachers in the school with Emergence  arcent of core classes not taught by Highly Core  Wireless Computer Lab	rily Qualified (NC fied (NCLB) teacher ency/Provisional Co Qualified Teachers Resources Ava Specia	LB) School Years.  ertification  ilable at School Facilities  ü On-line li	48 1 5% 4% pool Site	
High ore academic classes taught by Highly Quality eachers with Emergency Certification.  Exercent of teachers in the school with Emergence of core classes not taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of the core classes of taught by Highly Control of taught	rily Qualified (NC fied (NCLB) teacher ency/Provisional Co Qualified Teachers Resources Ava Specia	LB) School Yers.  ertification  ilable at School Facilities  ü On-line li ü New High	48 1 5% 4% pool Site	utry
High ore academic classes taught by Highly Quality eachers with Emergency Certification. Percent of teachers in the school with Emergence and the school with Emergence of core classes not taught by Highly Course of Course	rily Qualified (NC fied (NCLB) teacher ency/Provisional Co Qualified Teachers Resources Ava Specia	LB) School Yers.  ertification  ilable at School Facilities  ü On-line li ü New High	48 1 5% 4%  pol Site  Sbrary n School es II, football, cross cour	ntry
High  Dire academic classes taught by Highly Quality  Eachers with Emergency Certification.  Dercent of teachers in the school with Emergence of core classes not taught by Highly Compared to the core classes of taught by Highly Compared to the core classes of taught by Highly Compared to the core classes of taught by Highly Compared to the core classes of taught by Highly Compared to the core classes of taught by Highly Compared to the core classes of taught by Highly Compared to the core classes of taught by Highly Compared to the core classes of taught by Highly Compared to taught by Highly Compared to the core classes of taught by Highly Compared to taught by Highly Compa	rily Qualified (NC fied (NCLB) teacher ency/Provisional Co Qualified Teachers Resources Ava Specia	LB) School Yers.  ertification  ilable at School Televioletic School Televioletic T	48 1 5% 4%  pool Site  Sbrary a School es II, football, cross cour	utry
High ore academic classes taught by Highly Quality eachers with Emergency Certification. ercent of teachers in the school with Emergence ercent of core classes not taught by Highly Control of Con	rily Qualified (NC fied (NCLB) teacher ency/Provisional Co Qualified Teachers Resources Ava Specia	LB) School Years.  ertification  ilable at School Teachers  il Facilities  ii On-line li  ii New Hight  cular Activiti  ii Volleybal  ii Welding of Auto Club	48 1 5% 4%  pool Site  Sbrary a School es II, football, cross cour	
High ore academic classes taught by Highly Quality eachers with Emergency Certification. ercent of teachers in the school with Emergence of teachers in the school with Emergence of the core classes not taught by Highly Cercent of core classes not	rily Qualified (NC fied (NCLB) teacher ency/Provisional Co Qualified Teachers Resources Ava Specia  Extracurric	LB) School Years.  ertification  ilable at School Teachers  il Facilities  ii On-line li  ii New Hight  cular Activiti  ii Volleybal  ii Welding of Auto Club	48 1 5% 4%  pool Site  School es II, football, cross cour Club	
High ore academic classes taught by Highly Quality eachers with Emergency Certification. ercent of teachers in the school with Emergence ercent of core classes not taught by Highly Certain Certa	rily Qualified (NC fied (NCLB) teacher ency/Provisional Co Qualified Teachers Resources Ava Specia  Extracurric	LB) School Years.  ertification  ilable at School Televices  il Facilities  ii On-line li  ii New High  cular Activiti  ii Volleybal  ii Welding of the cular Auto Club  ii Wrestling	48 1 5% 4%  pool Site  School es II, football, cross cour Club	II
High  Dire academic classes taught by Highly Quality  Beachers with Emergency Certification.  Dercent of teachers in the school with Emergence and the schoo	rily Qualified (NC fied (NCLB) teacher ency/Provisional Co Qualified Teachers Resources Ava Specia  Extracurric	LB) School Years.  ertification  ilable at School II Facilities  ü On-line li ü New High  cular Activiti ü Volleybal ü Welding ii ü Auto Clul ü Wrestling II Services ü Choice Co	48 1 5% 4%  pool Site  School es II, football, cross cour Club p g, Basketball, volleyba	III s
High  Dire academic classes taught by Highly Quality  Beachers with Emergency Certification.  Dercent of teachers in the school with Emergence and the school with Emergence and taught by Highly Cercent of core classes not taught by Highly Cercent	rily Qualified (NC fied (NCLB) teacher ency/Provisional Co Qualified Teachers Resources Ava Specia  Extracurric	LB) School Years.  ertification  ilable at School Teachers  il Facilities  ii On-line li  ii New High  cular Activiti  ii Volleybal  ii Welding of the Westling  ii Services  ii Choice Color Choice Color Choice El	48 1 5% 4%  col Site  brary n School es II, football, cross cour Club c g, Basketball, volleyba	III s

## Indicators of Success Based on Historical Data from 2005-06

## School Achievements/Accomplishments 2005-06

- Ü Develop and pilot competency-based mathematics, reading and writing.
- Ü Develop and implement competency-based progress reports for all students.
- $\ddot{\mathbf{U}}$  Students approaching 50% on the AIMS writing test.

# Student Activity Rates for School Year 2005-06

			Arizona	
	% School	% K-6/UE	% 7-8	% 9-12/US
Attendance Rate 4	89	95	94	95
Promotion Rate 5	50	89	88	73
Graduation Rate <sup>6</sup>	25	NA	NA	81

NA = Graduation rate does not apply to K-8.

K-6/UE - Kindergarten to Grade 6 including Ungraded Elementary (UE).

9-12/US - 9th grade to 12th grade including Ungraded Secondary (US).

#### School Safety

#### School-level Efforts to Ensure a Safe and Healthy Learning Environment

The school provides students the opportunity to reduce risk factors by giving them the opportunity to resolve conflicts in a variety of ways: Responsible Thinking Class, limited out-of-school suspensions and service learning (Choice Program. The school was awarded AIMS Prevention & Intervention grant to provide support services in meeting these goals.

Total number of incidents that occurred on the school grounds for school year 2005-06 that required the intervention of local, state or federal law enforcement (A.R.S.15-746.6):

8

The Arizona Attorney General's Office has a hotline that allows students to report suspicious activities at schools and to get help with potentially violent situations, such as bullying, harassment, hate crimes, discrimination and gangs. The toll-free number, 1-877-900-1086, is anonymous and available 24 hours a day.

The Arizona Department of Education does not audit the information submitted by each school.

## Contacts

	Name	Phone Number
School Site Council	Tommy C. Yazzie, Ed.D.	(928) 672-2652
Transportation Policy	Paul Huber	(928) 672-2653
Community Resources	John Tsosie	(928) 672-2652
School Nutrition Programs	Geraldine Gamble	(928) 672-2653
Parent Organization	Gilbert Graymountain	(928) 672-2652
Student Health/Nurse	Sophina Tsosie	(928) 672-2652

The Arizona School Report Card was prepared by the Arizona Department of Education using a standardized format to combine information provided by the local school with data compiled from state records. Each school has special strengths and needs, and all schools benefit from the active involvement of parents in their children's education. If you have questions about the report card or need more information, contact the school office. Arizona School Report Cards can be found for all schools at www.ade.az.gov/srcs on the Internet. "The Arizona Department of Education of the State of Arizona does not discriminate on the basis of race, religion, color, national origin, sex, disability or age in its programs, activities or in its hiring and employment practices. If you have questions or grievances related to this policy, please contact the Administrative Services DAS at (602) 542-3186."

#### **ACHIEVEMENT PROFILES**

Arizona's Achievement Profiles capture the performance level of every school.

#### **DEFINITIONS:**

Failing to Meet the Academic Standards - needs to meet state performance and state progress goals.

School performance has been designated as Underperforming for three consecutive years and a site review determined that the designation of Failing to Meet the Academic Standards was warranted.

Underperforming - needs to meet state performance and state progress goals.

Performing - meets state performance goals.

Performing Plus - above state performance goals, however the number of students exceeding the standard on the AIMS test is not sufficient to earn a highly performing or excelling label.

Highly Performing - above state performance goals or has demonstrated adequate improvement, in addition a significant number of students have exceeded the standard on the AIMS test.

Excelling - significantly above state performance goals, in addition a significant number of students have exceeded the standard on the AIMS test.

#### TITLE I TERMS

#### Title I School Improvement Year 1

A Title I school that has not made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two consecutive years. Upon identification, the school must: notify parents/legal guardians of the school status; develop and implement a School Improvement Plan within 90 days of the identification; set aside 10% of the schools Title I funds for professional development for teachers and the principal; the district must offer parents the option of transfer and support to the school in its school improvement efforts.

#### Title I School Improvement Year 2

A Title I school that has not made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for three consecutive years. Upon identification, the school must: notify parents/legal guardians of the school status; develop and implement a School Improvement Plan within 90 days of the identification; set aside 10% of the schools Title I funds for professional development for teachers and the principal; the district must offer parents the option of transfer and offer supplemental educational services to eligible students, and offer support to the school in its school improvement efforts.

Title I School Improvement Year 3 or Corrective Action

A Title I school that has not made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for four consecutive years. Upon identification, the school must: notify parents/legal guardians of the school status; develop and implement a School Improvement Plan within 90 days of the identification; set aside 10% of the schools Title I funds for professional development for teachers and the principal; the district must offer parents the option of transfer and offer supplemental educational services to eligible students, and offer support to the school in its school improvement efforts. In addition, the school must choose and implement at least one of six corrective actions. See Section 1116(b)(7) of NCLB for a list of the corrective action options.

Title I School Improvement Year 4 or Restructuring (Planning Phase)

A Title I school that has not made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for five consecutive years. Upon identification, the school must: notify parents/legal guardians of the school status; develop and implement a School Improvement Plan within 90 days of the identification; set aside 10% of the schools Title I funds for professional development for teachers and the principal; the district must offer parents the option of transfer and offer supplemental educational services to eligible students, and offer support to the school in its school improvement efforts. In addition, the school must prepare a restructuring plan and make necessary arrangements to carry out one of three restructuring activities. See Section 1116(b)(8) of NCLB for a list of the restructuring activities.

Title I School Improvement Year 5 or Restructuring (Implementation Phase)

A Title I school that has not made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for six consecutive years. Upon identification, the school must: notify parents/legal guardians of the school status; develop and implement a School Improvement Plan within 90 days of the identification; set aside 10% of the schools Title I funds for professional development for teachers and the principal; the district must offer parents the option of transfer and offer supplemental educational services to eligible students, and offer support to the school in its school improvement efforts. In addition, the school must prepare a restructuring plan and make necessary arrangements to carry out one of three restructuring activities. See Section 1116(b)(8) of NCLB for a list of the restructuring activities.

# Shonto Preparatory Technology High School

#### Footnotes

- 1 For an explanation of the Achievement Profiles, please visit our website at www.ade.az.gov/azlearns.
- 2 Under ARS 15-816, school district governing boards must implement open enrollment programs and establish policies covering admission criteria, application procedures and transportation provisions. For more information, contact the school district office.
- 3 Arizona's Instrument to Measure Standards (AIMS) includes the reading, writing and mathematics subject areas. The proficiency levels include: Falls Far Below (FFB) the standard, Approaches (A) the standard, Meets or Met (M) the standard and Exceeds (E) the standard. MSS = Mean Scaled Score. The federal law, No Child Left Behind, requires disaggregation in subgroups and ethnicity. NC= Not Calculated. Under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA), no individually identifiable references to students may be made. Therefore, items of data containing information about fewer than ten (10) students have been replaced with NC. For more information on testing and Arizona Academic Standards go to http://www.ade.az.gov/AIMS/default.asp
- 4 Attendance Rate: Percentage of students attending the first 100 days of the academic year.
- 5 Promotion Rate: Percentage of students promoted to the next grade or who met graduation requirements and received a traditional diploma at the end of the 2004-05 school year. Percentage includes students who left school at age 22 or who had completed school and received a non-traditional diploma.
- 6 Graduation Rate: The graduation rate presented here is a 5 year cohort rate for the class of 2004. For more information on the Graduation Rate Study published by the ADE, please see our website at www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/grad.

Printed in Phoenix, Arizona, by the Arizona Department of Education. December 2006

Total cost of printing: 12 Pages X .0243 Per page X 142 Copies = \$41.00

<sup>\*\*</sup> If total cost of printing = \$0.00, the school elected to only provide the electronic version of the report card.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Due to booklet size printing, print copies are produced in multiples of 4.